

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

Question Text	Response
* Please select the type of entity or individual responding to this feedback form.	Accounting Firm/Auditor
Other, please specify (Specified)	
* Please provide contact information for any follow-up questions.	(Filled in as Follows:)
Organization *	LGC+D
First name *	Martha
Middle initial	C
Last name *	Hultzman
Email address *	mhultzman@lgcd.com
Phone number	401.421.4800
1. Should the requirements to present and disclose fully benefit-responsive investment contracts at fair value be eliminated? If not, please explain why.	Yes

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>2. Should the disclosure requirements for fully benefit- responsive investment contracts included in paragraphs 962-325-50-3 and 965-325-50-2 be reduced to eliminate disclosures relating to fair value measurements? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
---	------------

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>3. Should any other disclosures be required for fully benefit-responsive investment contracts?</p>	<p>Guaranteed interest rate. Transactions that might impact the ability of the plan participant to withdraw their account balance or transfer to another Plan investment at less than contract value.</p>
<p>4. Should the proposed amendments be applied retrospectively to all periods presented? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>Yes, because the statement of assets available for benefits is comparative.</p>
<p>5. How much time would be needed to implement the proposed amendments? Should early adoption be permitted?</p>	<p>Removing all of the existing disclosure on FBR contracts and re-formatting financial statements to remove the FBR contract-fair value adjustment will be easy. I'd like to see an example of the changes to the investment footnotes... will the FBR contract be in a separate FN or its own?</p>
<p>Please provide any additional comments related to I. Fully Benefit- Responsive Investment Contracts</p>	
<p>1. Should investments be disaggregated only by general type, as required under Topics 960, 962, and 965 (that is, not by both general type and nature, characteristics, and risks)? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>I think the disclosure of investment characteristics or risks could provide useful information to informed readers of the financial statement, namely trustees and plan sponsors.</p>

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>2. Should self- directed brokerage accounts be classified as one general type of investment? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>3. Should the requirements in Topics 960, 962, and 965 to disclose investments that represent 5 percent or more of net assets available for benefits be eliminated? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>I like having this. Some clients don't like this disclosure because it does not add up to any total.</p>
<p>4. If an investment is measured using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) practical expedient in paragraph 820-10-35-59 and that investment is in a fund that files a Form 5500 as a direct filing entity, should the disclosure of that investment's significant investment strategies be required? If so, please explain why.</p>	<p>No! this is a total pain and completely clutters up the investment footnote.</p>
<p>5. Should the requirements in Topics 960, 962, and 965 to disclose the net appreciation or depreciation for investments by general type be</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>eliminated? If not, please explain why.</p>	
<p>6. Should the proposed amendments be applied retrospectively? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>7. How much time would be needed to implement the proposed amendments? Should early adoption be permitted?</p>	
<p>8. Are there any other improvements applicable to employee benefit plan accounting that should be considered for purposes of further simplifying financial reporting for employee benefit plans (for example, are there other disclosures that should be eliminated, amended, or added)?</p>	
<p>Please provide any additional comments related to II. Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes:</p>	
<p>1. Should employee benefit plans be allowed to apply a measurement date practical expedient to measure</p>	<p>yes</p>

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>investments and investment-related accounts using the month-end that is closest to the plan's fiscal year-end when the fiscal period does not coincide with a month-end? If not, please explain why.</p>	
<p>2. Should plans only disclose (rather than recognize) contributions, distributions, and significant events that occur between the alternative measurement date and the plan's fiscal year-end? If not, please explain why.</p>	<p>yes</p>
<p>3. Should any other disclosures be required for plans that elect the practical expedient?</p>	<p>no</p>
<p>4. Should the proposed amendments be applied prospectively? If not, please explain why, and what transition method you would propose.</p>	
<p>5. How much time would be needed to implement the</p>	

Three Proposed Accounting Standards Updates, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 360), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965) I. Fully Benefit-Responsive Investment Contracts, II. Plan Investment Disclosures, and III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient

<p>proposed amendments? Should early adoption be permitted?</p>	
<p>Please provide any additional comments related to III. Measurement Date Practical Expedient:</p>	
<p>Please provide any comments on the electronic feedback process:</p>	
<p>Below is a printable summary of your responses to the questions in this feedback form.</p> <p>You can revise your responses by clicking the "Back" button.</p> <p>All comments received constitute part of the FASB's public file. The FASB will make all comments publicly available by posting them to the Online Comment Letters portion of its website.</p> <p>If you are finished providing comments, click the 'Submit' button at the bottom of this page.</p>	<p>Not Answered</p>