

May 18, 2015

Ms. Susan M. Cospers  
Technical Director  
Financial Accounting Standards Board  
401 Merritt 7  
P.O. Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

(Sent via e-mail to [director@fasb.org](mailto:director@fasb.org))

Re: File Reference No. EITF-15C-I and EITF-15C-II

Dear Ms. Cospers:

The Committee on Investment of Employee Benefit Assets (CIEBA) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed Accounting Standards Updates related to Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Plans, Defined Contribution Plans and Health and Welfare Plans issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). CIEBA members are the chief investment officers of more than 100 of the country's largest pension funds. CIEBA members manage about \$2 trillion of defined benefit and defined contribution plan assets on behalf of 17 million plan participants and beneficiaries.

CIEBA supports the FASB in its efforts to simplify U.S. GAAP. CIEBA members also support the objective of improving disclosures and providing users with more useful information.

We'd like to bring your attention to three potential areas of opportunity:

### **Request for Clarification**

Overall, CIEBA supports the proposed amendments included in the exposure draft. Regarding fully benefit-responsive investment contracts, we agree with the Board's proposal that contract value is the most important and appropriate measurement attribute. Plan participants and beneficiaries are entitled to and transact at contract value, making it the most important and appropriate measurement for fully benefit responsive investment contracts. Elimination of fair market valuation will reduce costs, confusion and complexity associated with this valuation.

CIEBA members would like to better understand the impact of the proposal related to fully benefit-responsive synthetic guaranteed investment contracts (synthetic GICs). CIEBA believes that clarification is needed with respect to the impact of the changes on the financial statements and disclosures for synthetic GICs.

Specifically, it is not clear whether the financial statements and respective notes for the benefit plans would be consistently presented at contract value for all components of synthetic GICs. Note that the key differences between a synthetic GIC and a traditional GIC are that the plan owns the underlying assets of a synthetic GIC and utilizes a wrapper contract issued by a third party that provides market and cash flow risk protection to the plan. If synthetic GICs are to be disclosed at contract value, will this eliminate disclosures of the underlying assets at fair value in the investment and derivative disclosure tables,

including any related collateral? Also, will the underlying investments subject to master netting agreements need to be disclosed?

### **Prospective Application**

CIEBA prefers prospective application of the proposed ASU since the cost of retrospective application would outweigh the benefits. CIEBA members appreciate the Boards efforts to provide decision useful information while reducing cost and complexity for preparers. Finding the right balance in today's environment is challenging for everyone involved.

### **Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging**

In response to your question on other issues that would benefit from simplification in EITF-15C-II, CIEBA proposes eliminating or simplifying the quantitative derivative disclosure requirements. Employee benefit plans may use derivatives to hedge risk in their investment portfolios. As a result, these plans are subject to extensive disclosure requirements. The effort and cost of these disclosures can be at odds with the important purpose these derivatives can serve in reducing risk. For defined benefit plans, the derivative disclosure requirements provide little useful information in assessing a plan's present and future ability to pay benefits when they come due. For defined contribution plans, the plan participants may find the derivative disclosures difficult to interpret and understand. Eliminating the quantitative derivative disclosure requirements or reducing the overall amount of disclosures for benefit plans are potential options for improvement in this area. Qualitative disclosure regarding the use of derivative instruments in the benefit plans could still be required.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the exposure draft. If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-961-8876 or [dforbes@cieba.org](mailto:dforbes@cieba.org).

Sincerely,



Deborah K. Forbes  
Executive Director, CIEBA  
The Committee on Investment of Employee Benefit Assets  
4520 East-West Highway | Suite 750 | Bethesda, MD 20814  
T: 301-961-8677 | F: 301-907-2864 | C: 240-778-8709  
[dforbes@CIEBA.org](mailto:dforbes@CIEBA.org)